

# On positive duality gaps in semidefinite programming

Gábor Pataki

UNC Chapel Hill

Talk at RICAM, 2019

## A pair of Semidefinite Programs (SDP)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sup_x c^T x & \inf_Y B \bullet Y \\ (P) \quad s.t. \sum_{i=1}^m x_i A_i \preceq B & Y \succeq 0 \quad (D) \\ & A_i \bullet Y = c_i \forall i. \end{array}$$

Here

- $A_i, B$  are symmetric matrices,  $c, x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .
- $A \preceq B$  means that  $B - A$  is symmetric positive semidefinite (psd).
- $A \bullet B = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} b_{ij}$ .

## SDP duality

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sup_x c^T x & \inf_Y B \bullet Y \\ (P) \quad s.t. \sum_{i=1}^m x_i A_i \preceq B & Y \succeq 0 \quad (D) \\ & A_i \bullet Y = c_i \forall i. \end{array}$$

## SDP duality

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sup_x c^T x & \inf_Y B \bullet Y \\ (P) \quad s.t. \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i A_i \preceq B & Y \succeq 0 \quad (D) \\ & A_i \bullet Y = c_i \quad \forall i. \end{array}$$

**Easy:** If  $x$  and  $Y$  are feasible, then  $c^T x \leq B \bullet Y$ .

## SDP duality

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sup_x c^T x & \inf_Y B \bullet Y \\ (P) \quad s.t. \sum_{i=1}^m x_i A_i \preceq B & Y \succeq 0 \quad (D) \\ & A_i \bullet Y = c_i \forall i. \end{array}$$

Easy: If  $x$  and  $Y$  are feasible, then  $c^T x \leq B \bullet Y$ .

Ideally:  $\exists x^*, \exists Y^* : c^T x^* = B \bullet Y^*$ .

## SDP duality

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sup_x c^T x & \inf_Y B \bullet Y \\ (P) \quad s.t. \sum_{i=1}^m x_i A_i \preceq B & Y \succeq 0 \quad (D) \\ & A_i \bullet Y = c_i \forall i. \end{array}$$

**Easy:** If  $x$  and  $Y$  are feasible, then  $c^T x \leq B \bullet Y$ .

**Ideally:**  $\exists x^*, \exists Y^* : c^T x^* = B \bullet Y^*$ .

**But:** **pathologies** occur, as nonattainment, positive gaps.

## SDP duality

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sup_x c^T x & \inf_Y B \bullet Y \\ (P) \quad s.t. \sum_{i=1}^m x_i A_i \preceq B & Y \succeq 0 \quad (D) \\ & A_i \bullet Y = c_i \forall i. \end{array}$$

Easy: If  $x$  and  $Y$  are feasible, then  $c^T x \leq B \bullet Y$ .

Ideally:  $\exists x^*, \exists Y^* : c^T x^* = B \bullet Y^*$ .

But: **pathologies** occur, as nonattainment, positive gaps.

→ in such cases we cannot certify optimality.

## Example: positive duality gap

Primal:

$\sup x_2$

$$s.t. \quad x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



## Example: positive duality gap

Primal:

$$\begin{array}{l} \sup x_2 \\ \text{s.t. } x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$x_2 = 0$  identically.

## Example: positive duality gap

Primal:

$$\begin{array}{l} \sup x_2 \\ \text{s.t. } x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$x_2 = 0$  identically  $\Rightarrow$  primal = 0.

## Example: positive duality gap

Primal:

$$\begin{array}{l} \sup x_2 \\ \text{s.t. } x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Dual matrix  $Y \succeq 0$

## Example: positive duality gap

Primal:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup x_2 \\ & \text{s.t. } x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Dual matrix  $Y \succeq 0$

$$\text{1st dual constraint } \Rightarrow y_{11} = 0 \Rightarrow Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_{22} & y_{23} \\ 0 & y_{23} & y_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Example: positive duality gap

Primal:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup x_2 \\ & \text{s.t. } x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Dual matrix  $Y \succeq 0$

$$\text{1st dual constraint } \Rightarrow y_{11} = 0 \Rightarrow Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_{22} & y_{23} \\ 0 & y_{23} & y_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Example: positive duality gap

Primal:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup x_2 \\ & \text{s.t. } x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Dual matrix  $Y \succeq 0$

$$\text{1st dual constraint } \Rightarrow y_{11} = 0 \Rightarrow Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_{22} & y_{23} \\ 0 & y_{23} & y_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{2nd dual constraint } \Rightarrow y_{22} = 1 \Rightarrow \text{dual opt} = 1$$

## Example: positive duality gap

Primal:

$$\begin{array}{l} \sup x_2 \\ \text{s.t. } x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Looks quite odd:  $x_1$  “only exists” to create a zero block in the dual matrix.

## Positive gaps

- Maybe the “worst/most interesting” pathology.
- Solvers fail, or report a wrong solution.
- Good model of positive gaps in more general convex programs



## Literature

- Pathological semidefinite **systems P, 2011** –  
“Bad semidefinite programs: they all look the same”
- It does not distinguish among bad objective functions.

## Literature

- Pathological semidefinite **systems P, 2011** –  
“Bad semidefinite programs: they all look the same”
- It does not distinguish among bad objective functions.
- Positive gaps related to complementarity in homogeneous systems: **Tuncel, Wolkowicz, 2012**
- Weak infeasibility: **Lourenco, Muramatsu, Tsuchiya, 2014**
- Infeasibility, weak infeasibility: **Liu, P 2015, 2017**

## Literature: how to solve some pathological SDPs

- Facial reduction of Borwein-Wolkowicz, Waki-Muramatsu, Pataki: implemented by **Permenter, Parrilo 2014;**  
**Permenter, Friberg, Andersen 2015**
- Very simple facial reduction (just inspect the constraints):  
**Zhu, P, Tran Dinh (Sieve-SDP) 2017**
- SPECTRA, exact arithmetic SDP solver  
**Henrion-Naldi-El Din 2016**
- Douglas-Rachford splitting:  
**Liu, Ryu, Yin 2017**
- Homotopy method  
**Hauenstein, Liddell, Zhang 2018**

## Main ideas

- Look at small instances.
- **Proposition:** positive gap  $\Rightarrow m \geq 2$ .
- Fully characterize the  $m = 2$  case.

## Main ideas

- Look at small instances.

Proposition: positive gap  $\Rightarrow m \geq 2$ .

- Fully characterize the  $m = 2$  case.
- Show that the  $m = 2$  case sheds light on larger  $m$ .
- Precisely: the structure that causes positive gap when  $m = 2$  often does the same when  $m > 2$ .

## Main ideas

- Look at small instances.

Proposition: positive gap  $\Rightarrow m \geq 2$ .

- Fully characterize the  $m = 2$  case.
- Show that the  $m = 2$  case sheds light on larger  $m$ .
- Precisely: the structure that causes positive gap when  $m = 2$  does the same in many cases even if  $m > 2$ .
- Reformulate
- Borrow ideas from linear system of equations:  
to show  $Ax = b$  is infeasible, we create an equation  
 $\langle 0, x \rangle = 1$ .

## Recall: a pair of Semidefinite Programs (SDPs)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \sup_x c^T x & \inf_Y B \bullet Y \\ (P) \quad s.t. \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i A_i \preceq B & Y \succeq 0 \quad (D) \\ & A_i \bullet Y = c_i \quad \forall i. \end{array}$$

Reformulations of  $(P) - (D)$  are obtained by

- Choose  $T$  invertible, and

$$B \leftarrow T^T B T, A_i \leftarrow T^T A_i T \forall i.$$

- Elementary row operations on  $(D)$  : e.g., exchange two constraints  $A_i \bullet Y = c_i$  and  $A_j \bullet Y = c_j$ .
- Choose  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and

$$B \leftarrow B + \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i A_i.$$

Reformulations preserve positive gaps (if any).



Suppose  $m = 2$ .

Then positive gap  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  reformulation

sup  $c'_2 x_2$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & M \\ \hline \times & \Sigma & & \\ \hline \times & & -I_s & \\ \hline M^T & & & \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} I_p & & & \\ \hline & I_{r-p} & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Lambda \succ 0$ ,  $M \neq 0$ ,  $c'_2 > 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

Suppose  $m = 2$ .

Then positive gap  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  reformulation

sup  $c'_2 x_2$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & M \\ \hline \times & \Sigma & & \\ \hline \times & & -I_s & \\ \hline M^T & & & \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} I_p & & & \\ \hline & I_{r-p} & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Lambda \succ 0$ ,  $M \neq 0$ ,  $c'_2 > 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

Proof of  $\Leftarrow$

This is the easy direction.

Essentially reuse the argument from before.

Suppose  $m = 2$ .

Then positive gap  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  reformulation

sup  $c'_2 x_2$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & M \\ \hline \times & \Sigma & & \\ \hline \times & & -I_s & \\ \hline M^T & & & \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} I_p & & & \\ \hline & I_{r-p} & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Lambda \succ 0$ ,  $M \neq 0$ ,  $c'_2 > 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

Proof of  $\Leftarrow M \neq 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \text{primal} = 0$ .

Suppose  $m = 2$ .  
 Then positive gap  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  reformulation

$$\begin{array}{l} \sup c'_2 x_2 \\ \text{s.t. } x_1 \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \Lambda & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{array} \right) + x_2 \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} \times & \times & \times & M \\ \hline \times & \Sigma & & \\ \hline \times & & -I_s & \\ \hline M^T & & & \end{array} \right) \preceq \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} I_p & & & \\ \hline & I_{r-p} & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{array} \right)$$

where  $\Lambda \succ 0$ ,  $M \neq 0$ ,  $c'_2 > 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

Proof of  $\Leftarrow$  Dual matrix  $Y \succeq 0$

1st dual constraint  $\Rightarrow \Lambda \bullet Y(1:p, 1:p) = 0$

Suppose  $m = 2$ .  
 Then positive gap  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  reformulation

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \sup c'_2 x_2 \\
 \text{s.t. } x_1
 \end{array}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 \Lambda & & & \\
 \hline
 & & & \\
 \hline
 & & & \\
 \hline
 & & & 
 \end{pmatrix}
 + x_2
 \begin{pmatrix}
 \times & \times & \times & M \\
 \hline
 \times & \Sigma & & \\
 \hline
 \times & & -I_s & \\
 \hline
 M^T & & & 
 \end{pmatrix}
 \stackrel{\text{L}}{\sim}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 I_p & & & \\
 \hline
 & I_{r-p} & & \\
 \hline
 & & & \\
 \hline
 & & & 
 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Lambda \succ 0$ ,  $M \neq 0$ ,  $c'_2 > 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

Proof of  $\Leftarrow$  Dual matrix  $Y \succeq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{1st dual constraint} &\Rightarrow \Lambda \bullet Y(1:p, 1:p) = 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow Y(1:p, 1:p) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $m = 2$ .

Then positive gap  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  reformulation

sup  $c'_2 x_2$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & M \\ \hline \times & \Sigma & & \\ \hline \times & & -I_s & \\ \hline M^T & & & \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} I_p & & & \\ \hline & I_{r-p} & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Lambda \succ 0$ ,  $M \neq 0$ ,  $c'_2 > 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

Proof of  $\Leftarrow$  Dual matrix  $Y \succeq 0$

1st dual constraint  $\Rightarrow \Lambda \bullet Y(1:p, 1:p) = 0$

$\Rightarrow Y(1:p, 1:p) = 0$

$\Rightarrow$  1st  $p$  rows and columns of  $Y$  are zero.

Suppose  $m = 2$ .

Then positive gap  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  reformulation

sup  $c'_2 x_2$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & M \\ \hline \times & \Sigma & & \\ \hline \times & & -I_s & \\ \hline M^T & & & \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} I_p & & & \\ \hline & I_{r-p} & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Lambda \succ 0$ ,  $M \neq 0$ ,  $c'_2 > 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{dual is} \quad & \inf \begin{pmatrix} I_{r-p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bullet Y' \\ s.t. \quad & \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma & 0 \\ 0 & -I_s \end{pmatrix} \bullet Y' = c'_2 > 0 \\ & Y' \succeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $m = 2$ .  
 Then positive gap  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  reformulation

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \sup c'_2 x_2 \\
 \text{s.t. } x_1
 \end{array}
 \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
 \Lambda & & & \\
 \hline
 & & & \\
 \hline
 & & & \\
 \hline
 & & & 
 \end{array} \right)
 + x_2
 \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
 \times & \times & \times & M \\
 \hline
 \times & \Sigma & & \\
 \hline
 \times & & -I_s & \\
 \hline
 M^T & & & 
 \end{array} \right)
 \stackrel{\sim}{=}
 \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
 I_p & & & \\
 \hline
 & I_{r-p} & & \\
 \hline
 & & & \\
 \hline
 & & & 
 \end{array} \right)$$

where  $\Lambda \succ 0$ ,  $M \neq 0$ ,  $c'_2 > 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

$\Rightarrow$  dual optimal value  $> 0$ .

Simple **certificate** of the positive gap



When does the underlying **system** admit a gap?

Given

$$(P_{SD}) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_i A_i \preceq B$$

is there  $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that there is a positive gap?

Suppose  $m = 2$ . Then  $\exists(c_1, c_2)$  with positive gap  
 $\Leftrightarrow (P_{SD})$  has reformulation

$$x_1 \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times & M \\ \hline \times & \Sigma & & \\ \hline \times & & -I_s & \\ \hline M^T & & & \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} I_p & & & \\ \hline & I_{r-p} & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Lambda \succ 0$ ,  $M \neq 0$ ,  $s \geq 0$ .

How about  $m > 2$ ?

## Similar example with $m = 3$

sup  $x_3$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Similar example with $m = 3$

sup  $x_3$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Primal = 0.**

## Similar example with $m = 3$

sup  $x_3$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Primal = 0.

Dual : Variable  $Y = (y_{ij}) \succeq 0$

## Similar example with $m = 3$

sup  $x_3$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Primal = 0.

Dual : Variable  $Y = (y_{ij}) \succeq 0$

1st two dual constraints  $\Rightarrow$  1st two rows and columns of  $Y$  are zero.

## Similar example with $m = 3$

sup  $x_3$

$$\text{s.t. } x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Primal = 0.

$\Rightarrow$  Dual is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bullet Y' \\ \text{s.t.} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bullet Y' = 1 \\ & Y' \succeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Same structure as in the 2 variable case



## Similar example with $m = 3$

sup  $x_3$

$$s.t. \ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Primal = 0.

$\Rightarrow$  Dual is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \quad & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bullet Y' \\ s.t. \quad & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \bullet Y' = 1 \\ & Y' \succeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Same structure as in the 2 variable case

We can create such an instance for any  
 $m = 2, 3, 4, \dots$  with  $n = m + 1$

Name: single sequence SDPs

Objective is  $\sup x_m$ .





## How do we get these instances? Background: facial reduction

Given  $H$  affine subspace,  $K$  closed convex cone s.t.  $H \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , a facial reduction algorithm (FRA) works as:

- (1) If  $\text{ri } K \cap H = \emptyset$ , find  $y \in H^\perp \cap (K^* \setminus K^\perp)$ .
- (2) Replace  $K$  by  $K \cap y^\perp$ . Goto (1).

## How do we get these instances? Background: facial reduction

Given  $H$  affine subspace,  $K$  closed convex cone s.t.  $H \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , a facial reduction algorithm (FRA) works as:

- (1) If  $\text{ri } K \cap H = \emptyset$ , find  $y \in H^\perp \cap (K^* \setminus K^\perp)$ .
- (2) Replace  $K$  by  $K \cap y^\perp$ . Goto (1).

### Facial reduction sequence:

The sequence  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  generated by the FRA.

## How do we get these instances? Background: facial reduction

Given  $H$  affine subspace,  $K$  closed convex cone s.t.  $H \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , a facial reduction algorithm (FRA) works as:

- (1) If  $\text{ri } K \cap H = \emptyset$ , find  $y \in H^\perp \cap (K^* \setminus K^\perp)$ .
- (2) Replace  $K$  by  $K \cap y^\perp$ . Goto (1).

### Facial reduction sequence:

The sequence  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  generated by the FRA.

### Singularity degree:

Is the smallest number of FRA steps, until the FRA stops.

So we can talk about the singularity degree of an SDP.

Back to  $m = 2$  example:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{sup } x_2 \\ \text{s.t. } x_1 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_1} + x_2 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_2} \preceq \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_B \end{array}$$

Here  $(A_1)$  is a facial reduction sequence for  $(D)$ .



Back to  $m = 2$  example:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{sup } x_2 \\ \text{s.t. } x_1 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_1} + x_2 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_2} \preceq \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_B \end{array}$$

Here  $(A_1)$  is a facial reduction sequence for  $(D)$ .

$A_1 \bullet Y = 0$  proves that dual matrix must look like

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_{22} & y_{23} \\ 0 & y_{23} & y_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Back to larger example:

sup  $x_3$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 s.t. \ x_1 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_1} + x_2 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_2} + x_3 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_3} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_B
 \end{array}$$

Here  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a facial reduction sequence for  $(D)$ .

## Back to larger example:

sup  $x_3$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 s.t. \quad x_1 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_1} + x_2 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_2} + x_3 \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_3} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_B
 \end{array}$$

Here  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a facial reduction sequence for  $(D)$ .

$A_1 \bullet Y = A_2 \bullet Y = 0$  proves that dual matrix must look like

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_{33} & y_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & y_{43} & y_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Theorem:

- $\text{sing}(\mathbf{D}) \leq m$ .
- $= m \Rightarrow$  no gap. (Easy)
- $\forall m \geq 2, \forall g > 0 \exists$  instance s.t.  
 $\text{sing}(\mathbf{D}) = m - 1$  and gap is  $g$ .

Indeed, the single sequence SDPs have  
 $\text{sing}(\mathbf{D}) = m - 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ 1 & 1 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

We also look at the homogeneous dual

$$A_i \bullet Y = 0 \forall i$$

$$B \bullet Y = 0 \text{ (HD)}$$

$$Y \succeq 0$$

## Theorem:

- $\text{sing}(\text{HD}) \leq m + 1$ . (Easy)
- $= m + 1 \Rightarrow$  no gap.
- $\forall m \geq 2, \forall g > 0 \exists$  instance s.t.  
 $\text{sing}(\text{D}) = m - 1$  and  $\text{sing}(\text{HD}) = m$  and gap is  $g$ .

## Theorem:

- $\text{sing}(\text{HD}) \leq m + 1$ . (Easy)
- $= m + 1 \Rightarrow$  no gap.
- $\forall m \geq 2, \forall g > 0 \exists$  instance s.t.  
 $\text{sing}(\text{D}) = m - 1$  and  $\text{sing}(\text{HD}) = m$  and gap is  $g$ .

Proof: the double sequence SDPs

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & -1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{array}$$



## Surprising connection between singularity degrees

$$\underbrace{\text{sing}(\text{HD}) = m + 1}_{\text{(maximal)}} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\text{sing}(\text{D}) = 0}_{\text{(minimal)}}$$

$\text{sing}(\text{D}) = 0$  means it satisfies Slater's condition

## Problem library and computational results

- Instances with  $m = 2, 3, \dots, 11$ ; gap = 10.
- Integral data. Gap can be verified by hand, in exact arithmetic.

## Problem library and computational results

- Instances with  $m = 2, 3, \dots, 11$ ;  $\text{gap} = 10$ .
- Integral data. Gap can be verified by hand, in exact arithmetic.
- **Four categories:**
  - *gap\_single\_finite\_clean\_m*
  - *gap\_single\_finite\_messy\_m*
  - *gap\_single\_inf\_clean\_m*,
  - *gap\_single\_inf\_messy\_m*.
- **Messy** means we applied a similarity transformation  $T^T()T$ .

# Results

	GAP, SINGLE, FINITE		GAP, SINGLE, INFINITE	
	CLEAN	MESSY	CLEAN	MESSY
MOSEK	1	1	0	0
SDPA-GMP	1	1	0	0
PP+MOSEK	10	1	10	0
SIEVE-SDP + MOSEK	10	1	10	0

- PP: preprocessor of Permenter and Parrilo
- Sieve-SDP: preprocessor of Zhu, Pataki, Tran-Dinh

Note: SPECTRA works when  $m = 2$ .

## Summary:

- Positive gaps: “worst/most interesting” pathology of SDPs.
- Complete characterization for  $m = 2$  by reformulation.
- Complete characterization of positive gap systems with  $m = 2$ .

## Summary:

- Positive gaps: “worst/most interesting” pathology of SDPs.
- Complete characterization for  $m = 2$  by reformulation.
- Complete characterization of positive gap systems with  $m = 2$ .
- Similarly structured positive gap SDPs in any dimension.
- Highest singularity degree that leads to a positive gap.
- Challenging problem library.

# Papers

- **P:** Bad semidefinite programs: they all look the same,  
**2010–SIOPT 2017**
- **P:** Characterizing bad semidefinite programs: normal forms and short proofs  
**SIAM Review, 2019**
- **P:** On positive duality gaps in semidefinite programming, submitted.

**Thank you!**